## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

### **NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES**

		r.ILEO	
TO: U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE  FROM: R. Mullin, Deputy Clerk  CASE NO: 07cv2183 DMS (RBR) DOCUMENT FILED BY: Petitioner  Petitioner  Petitioner			
CASE NO.: 07cv2183 DMS (RBB) DOCUMENT FILED BY: Petitioner Petitioner			
CASE TITLE: Cunningham v. Marshall			
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Exhibits			
	Upon the	e submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:	
1	Local Rule	Discrepancy	
	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation	
<u></u>	5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper	
ā	5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.	
ō	7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely	
	7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document	
	7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions	
	7.1	Missing table of contents	
	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself	
	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order	
		Supplemental documents require court order	
		Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest	
$\mathbf{X}$		OTHER: Documents already on file.	
Date forwarded: <u>1/17/2008</u> ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:			
The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.		ent is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.	
$\boxtimes$	copy of this	the document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a ppy of this order on all parties.	
	Rejected do	ocument to be returned to pro se or inmate? Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers	
	Counsel is a to Local Ru	advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant alle 83.1  CHAMBERS OF:	
	Dated: \(\frac{1}{2}\)	By:	

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, EAST COUNTY DIVISION DEPARTMENT 9 BEFORE HON. WILLIAM J. MCGRATH, JUDGE

REJECTED

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNÍA, PLAINTIFF,

VS.

JAMES CUNNINGHAM,

DEFENDANT.

CASE NO. SCE243538

MASTER INDEX

## EXHIBIT

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

JANUARY 4, 5, 6, 2005

#### APPEARANCES:

FOR THE PLAINTIFF: DAN LINK

DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY

FOR THE DEFENDANT: STACY GULLEY

DEPUTY PUBLIC DEFENDER

REPORTED BY: IRENE PERKINS, CSR NO. 12727 SAN DIEGO SUPERIOR COURT

Case 3:07-cv-02183-DMS-BLM Document 6 Filed 01/22/2008 Page 7 of 1 JPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ...

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

TOTALLY DIVISION 1 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 3 DEPARTMENT 9  $_{\hat{\theta}_{\mathbf{w}}}$  HON. WILLIAM J. MCGRATH 5 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE SCE 243538 OF CALIFORNIA, DA MAK333 PAGES 401-412/450 PLAINTIFF, VS. JAMES H. CUNNINGHAM COPY 10 DEFENDANT. 11 12 13 14 JANUARY 10, 2005 15 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 16 PAGES 401 THROUGH 412/450 17 APPEARANCES: 19 FOR THE PEOPLE: BONNIE DUMANIS DISTRICT ATTORNEY 20 BY: DAN F. LINK DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY 21 22 FOR THE DEFENDANT: OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC DEFENDERS 23 BY: STACY D. GULLEY DEPUTY PUBLIC DEFENDER 24 25 26 LORI R. OZBUN, CSR NO. 12838

PRO TEM REPORTER

EAST COUNTY REGIONAL CENTER

27

28

COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF ALIFORNIA

FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION ONE

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

PLAINTIFF,

VS.

JAMES CUNNINGHAM,

DEFENDANT.

HON. WILLIAM J. MCGRATH COURT OF APPEAL DCA NO. DO46320 NO. SCE243538

PROBATION HEARING AND SENTENCING

#### REPORTER'S APPEAL TRANSCRIPT

WEDNESDAY, MARCH 9, 2005

VOLUME 5

APPEARANCES:

FOR PLAINTIFF:

BONNIE DUMANIS

DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE BY: DANIEL F. LINK, ESQ.

330 WEST BROADWAY

SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92101

FOR DEFENDANT:

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC DEFENDER

BY: STACY D. GULLEY, ESQ.

250 EAST MAIN STREET, 6TH FLOOR EL CAJON, CALIFORNIA 92020

TAMELA ERVIN, RPR, CSR NO. 9685 PRO TEM COURT REPORTER EL CAJON, CALIFORNIA

# ORGNAL EXHBIT

COURT OF APPEAL -- STATE OF CALIFORNIA REJECTED

FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION ONE

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA ) FROM SAN DIEGO COUNTY

PLAINTIFF AND RESPONDENT, ) HON. WILLIAM J. MCGRATH,

JUDGE

VS.

) APPEAL NO. DO46320

JAMES CUNNINGHAM,

) NO. SCE243538

DEFENDANT AND APPELLANT. )

#### REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT ON APPEAL

JANUARY 4, 2005

SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

VOL. 1

PAGES 1 -- 16



#### APPEARANCES:

FOR THE PLAINTIFF AND RESPONDENT: BILL LOCKYER

ATTORNEY GENERAL

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

110 WEST A STREET

SAN DIEGO, CA. 92101

FOR THE DEFENDANT AND APPELLANT: JAMES CUNNINGHAM

IN PRO PER

REPORTED BY: IRENE PERKINS, CSR 12727

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA TED IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, EAST COUNTY DIVISION DEPARTMENT 9 BEFORE HON. WILLIAM J. MCGRATH, JUDGE

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, PLAINTIFF,

VS.

JAMES CUNNINGHAM,

DEFENDANT.

CASE NO. SCE243538

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

JANUARY 5, 2005

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE PLAINTIFF: DAN LINK

DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY

FOR THE DEFENDANT: STACY GULLEY

DEPUTY PUBLIC DEFENDER

COURT OF APPEAL -- STATE OF CALIFORNIA REJECT

JUDGE

### FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION ONE

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA ) FROM SAN DIEGO COUNTY

PLAINTIFF AND RESPONDENT,) HON. WILLIAM J. MCGRATH,

VS.

JAMES CUNNINGHAM,

) APPEAL NO. DO46320 ) NO. SCE243538

DEFENDANT AND APPELLANT. )

## REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT ON APPEAL

JANUARY 6, 2005

SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

VOL. 3

PAGES 201 -- 342-400

#### APPEARANCES:

FOR THE PLAINTIFF AND RESPONDENT: BILL LOCKYER

ATTORNEY GENERAL STATE OF CALIFORNIA 110 WEST A STREET

SAN DIEGO, CA. 92101

FOR THE DEFENDANT AND APPELLANT: JAMES CUNNINGHAM

IN PRO PER

REPORTED BY: IRENE PERKINS, CSR 12727